IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 4414 of 2005

Between:

1 Veeravalli Syamala, W/o.Venkateswara Rao,
1st Ward, Executive Member, Grampanchayat Kaikaluru-521333.
2 Vempati Vishnu Rao S/o.Satyanarayana Murthy
11st Ward, Kaikaluru-521333.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The District Collector, Krishna District Machilipatnam.
2 The Sarpanch, Kaikaluru Grampanchayat Kaikaluru, Krishna District.
3 The Secretary, Kaikaluru Grampanchayat Kaikaluru, Krishna District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ order or direction particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the 1st respondent in taking action on the representation made by the 2nd Petitioner on 11-2-2005 for demolition of the Kaikaluru Gram panchayat Office building without obtaining permission from the executive committee of the gram panchayat and also from the 1st Respondent and other higher authorities violating the rules in this regard as null and void, arbitrary, illegal and consequently restrain the 2nd and 3rd respondents from Proceeding with construction of the Gram panchayat building without due permission from the authorities and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioners: DR.P.B.VIJAY KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 : MR.DANTU.SRINIVAS

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

ORDER:

Having heard learned counsel for petitioners, learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development appearing for the first respondent, and learned standing counsel appearing for respondents 2 and 3, without expressing any opinion as to the correctness of the allegations made by the petitioners in their representation dated 11.02.2005, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to consider the representation made by the petitioners dated 11.02.2005 and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity to the respondents 2 and 3 to rebut the allegations made by the petitioners, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order. No costs.

_____

20.04.2005

Note: Furnish C.C., in three days.

(B/O)
sh
To

1 The District Collector, Krishna District Machilipatnam.
2 The Sarpanch, Kaikaluru Grampanchayat Kaikaluru, Krishna District.
3 The Secretary, Kaikaluru Grampanchayat Kaikaluru, Krishna District.

4 Two CCs to the G.P for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High

Court of A.P., Hyderabad(O.U.T).

5 2 CD copies